



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
01/22/2004	James L. Uecker	ITW 14509	9691
590 12/09/2005		EXAMINER	
CORRIGAN LAW OFFICE 5 BRIARCLIFF CT		SHAW, CLI	FFORD C
- - -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1725	
	01/22/2004 590 12/09/2005 LAW OFFICE	01/22/2004 James L. Uecker 590 12/09/2005 LAW OFFICE F CT	01/22/2004 James L. Uecker ITW 14509 590 12/09/2005 EXAM LAW OFFICE SHAW, CLI F CT WI 54915 ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/764,278	UECKER, JAMES L.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Clifford C. Shaw	1725		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
·	•	 s action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)🖂	5) Claim(s) <u>8-15,23-30 and 40-47</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,16-20 and 31-37</u> is/are rejected.				
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6,7,21,22,38 and 39</u> is/are objected to	D.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 July 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bureau				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment	• •	_			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0419, 1130</u> . 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/764,278 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

Detailed Action

1.) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2.) Claims 1-5, 16-20, and 31-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ott et al. (6,121,575). The patent to Ott et al. (6,121,575) discloses a welding power supply with arc width control, including control based on welding parameter adjustment with a gain representative of wire feed speed input (see figure 2 and the discussion in columns 3-5 of Ott et al. (6,121,575)). The claims differ from the explicit teachings of Ott et al. (6,121,575) in calling for welding parameter adjustment using at least three gains over a range of wire feed speeds. This difference does not patentably distinguish over the prior art. In column 4, lines 49-60 of Ott et al. (6,121,575), the amount of scaling (i.e. the gain control) based on wire feed speed is discussed. The statement is made "other embodiments have the scaling vary with wire feed speed to a greater extent (more thresholds e.g.), ...". Because Ott et al. (6,121,575) already teaches two gains, it is considered obvious that the system of this patent can employ three gains as claimed because of the teaching for the use of more thresholds than the one explicitly disclosed, which would obviously result in three or more gains, satisfying the claims.

Art Unit: 1725

3.) Claims 6, 7, 21, 22, 38, and 39 are objected to for depending from rejected claims, but would be given favorable consideration if recast in independent form to include all of the limitations of the parent claims. None of the prior art of record teaches or suggests the arc width control arrangements with the control of at least five parameters as set forth in the claims.

4.) Claims 8-15, 23-30, and 40-47 are allowable over the prior art of record. None of the prior art of record teaches or suggests the arc width control arrangements as set forth in independent claims 8, 14, 23, 29, 40, and 46 wherein at least five welding parameters are controlled in the manner set forth in the claims. The other claims are allowable at least because they depend from the allowed independent claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Clifford C Shaw at telephone number 571-272-1182. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday of the first week of the pay period and on Tuesday through Friday of the second week of the pay period.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Thomas G. Dunn, can be reached at 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,278

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Clifford C Shaw Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725 Page 4

December 7, 2005